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| **Programme specific objective: 2.2 Climate change adaptation and mitigation measures and risk prevention and management measures are improved** |
| **Result: 2.2.1 The capacity of emergency services for risk prevention and mitigation and adaptation measures to deal with consequences of climate change disasters is strengthened** |
| IMPACT INDICATORS | Number of cross-border teams established and empowered to deal with emergency situations\* |
| Number of direct beneficiaries involved (to be disaggregated by type of vulnerable group and gender)\*\* |
| people with disabilities |
| youth |
| long-term unemployed |
| ethnic minorities |
| other (please specify) |
| Number of new jobs created by the businesses which received assistance from the scheme (including self-employment initiatives) (to be disaggregated by gender)\*\*\* |
| Number of businesses still active after two years of their establishment (to be disaggregated by gender of the entrepreneur) |
| Number of new services available in the market one year after project ends |
| Percentage of decrease in response time of the emergency services confronted with a crisis caused by manmade hazards and natural disasters |
| Percentage of the population in the eligible area has been reached by an information campaign |
| No of cross-border agreements and/or MoUs signed and entered into effect at local and/or regional level for risk prevention and management |
| OUTCOME INDICATORS | Number of departments within the public administration equipped for improved cross-border management of disasters and dealing with climate change\* |
| Number of new businesses established as a result of the call (to be disaggregated by gender of the entrepreneur)\*\*\* |
| Number of organisations/institutions (in particular, emergency services from both sides of the border) with increased capacities/competences (to be disaggregated by type of organisation)\*\*\* |
| Number of users of digital platforms |
| Number of plans implemented (developed and operationalized) |
| Number of new services commercialised |
| Level of satisfaction of users/clients with new services |
| Level of satisfaction of trainees with new training courses |
| Increased level of competences among the trainees |
| Number of local communities being beneficiaries of capacity building activities on prevention and mitigation of manmade hazards and natural disasters |
| Population encompassed by the awareness campaigns for prevention and mitigation of manmade hazards and natural disasters  |
| Number of training curricula/courses recognized/certified |
| Number of new or upgraded planning, action, information, coordination and notification systems to prevent and mitigate the consequences of manmade hazards and natural disasters at local and central level |
| Number of new special departments created at local level for fighting against the consequences of manmade hazards and natural disasters |
| Area covered by joint risk measures / management plans for prevention of risks and man-made hazards (ha) |
| Number of strategies and action plans adopted on prevention and mitigation of manmade hazards and natural disasters |
| Number of joint risk management measures included in the routine of relevant bodies in the cross-border area |
| Length of roads protected from risks and man-made hazards (km) |
| Population benefitting from emergency preparedness and risk prevention measures supported by the programme |
| Percentage of areas/ sites at risk of soil contamination covered by joint harmonised plans/studies |
| Level of cooperation between actors in the field of risk management and emergency preparedness increased |
| Number of participants, as percentage from the local population, in the organized publicity events |
| No of people directly taking part in awareness raising activities (to be disaggregated by gender) |
| No of cross-border capacity building programmes on prevention and mitigation of floods and fires for institutions and services implemented |
| Number of institutions included in joint management initiatives in relation to risk prevention and management |
| OUTPUT INDICATORS | Number of capacity building events organised (to be disaggregated by type of event: trainings, workshops, seminars, other capacity building events)\* |
| Number of organisations directly involved in the implementation of this type of projects (to be disaggregated by type of organisation)\*\*\* |
| local government units |
| national government units (ministries, agencies, offices) |
| non-governmental organisations (NGOs, CSOs, associations) |
| enterprises |
| private |
| publicly owned |
| regional Development Agencies |
| educational institutions |
| cultural institutions |
| public utility companies |
| other type (please specify) |
| Number of organisations participating in cross-border networks/partnerships formed (to be disaggregated by type of organisation)\*\*\* |
| Number of inter-sectoral (local authorities-CSOs-private sector-public agencies-research and educational institutions) partnerships implementing this type of projects |
| Number of information/promotion campaigns implemented |
| Number of information/promotion events organised (to be disaggregated by type of event: conferences, exhibitions, fairs, other types of events) |
| Number of participants in information/promotion events (to be disaggregated by type of event and gender) |
| Number of promotion materials produced and distributed/published/broadcasted (to be disaggregated by type of promotional material) |
| Number of people reached by information/promotion campaigns |
| Number of participants in capacity building events organised (to be disaggregated by type of event and gender) |
| Number of training curricula/courses developed |
| Number of studies developed (e.g. baseline, (pre)feasibility, research, etc.) |
| Number of digital platforms (information systems) developed |
| Number of websites operational |
| Number of sets of equipment purchased and made available to target groups |
| Number of cross-border networks/partnerships formed |
| Number of organisations participating in cross-border networks/partnerships formed (to be disaggregated by type of organisation) |
| Number of cross-border cooperation agreements signed |
| Number of plans developed (e.g. strategic, investments, business plans, etc) |
| No of people with increased competences related to emergency preparedness and risk management and adaptation action (to be disaggregated by type of vulnerable group and gender) |
| Number of new services developed |
| Number of facilities enhanced |
| Number of manuals, guidelines, handbooks developed |
| Number of study visits organised |
| Number of participants in study visits (to be disaggregated by gender) |
| Number of households/farms and other organisations benefitting from the upgraded infrastructure |
| Number of disaster management systems developed based on the type of disaster |
| Surface area covered by common monitoring actions against the effects of floods, wildfires and soil erosion (ha) (to be disaggregated) |
| Number of joint risk management measures agreed |
| Number of joint risk management measures developed |
| Number of joint emergency centres established |
| Number of small scale investments realised |
| Number of priority locations/areas of natural and cultural heritage and related hazard risks mapped |
| Number of protocols for joint interventions in border areas established |
| Number of organisations participating in fairs (to be disaggregated by type of organisation) |
| Number of people in the CBC area made aware of risk prevention and management measures |

\* Indicators contained in the programme document and, therefore, considered as crucial for the achievement of programme objectives. Need to be included in every action for which they are relevant.

\*\* Indicators of a general nature that have to be included since they are relevant for every action.

\*\*\* Core indicators that are considered as very important, although not included in the programme document. Need to be included in every action for which they are relevant.