**Annex 1. Ranking table**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No** | **Project’s name** | **CBC Impact (weight 1.5)** | **No of population benefiting, or area covered** | **Maturity,**  **readiness for implementation (weight 1.5)** | **Relevance to thematic priorities and/or macro-regional strategies** | **Complementarity and sustainability (contribution to national and/or regional and/or local strategies)** | **Complexity[[1]](#footnote-1) (weight 1.5), (*if applicable*)** | **Cost-effectiveness (value for money)** | **Risk[[2]](#footnote-2) (weight 1.5)** | **Level of beneficiary financial contribution** | **Total Score** |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Scores between the minimum and maximum values can be allocated by using linear interpolation, or apply the medium score. Only three scores can be granted to each of the selection criteria, to wit: High: **5**; Medium: **3**, and Low: **1**. In four criterion cases, the scores will be weighed. Thus, the total score for each project is calculated as the sum of each individual criterion (where relevant multiplied by the weight).

Only projects with a score of at least the 70 % of the maximum possible shall be short-listed. As the maximum score range is 45-55, the short-list threshold will be 31.5-38.5.

1. Please note that for this parameter the scores follow another pattern. When the project complexity is high, the score to be weighed is 1. Likewise, when the project complexity is low, the score to be weighed must be 1.5. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Please note that for this parameter the scores follow another pattern. When the project implementation is risky, the score to be weighed is 1. Likewise, when the project implementation risk is low, the score to be weighed must be 1.5. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)